

Symmetries and Lie algebra of the differential-difference Kadomstev-Petviashvili hierarchy

Xian-long Sun*, Da-jun Zhang†, Xiao-ying Zhu, Deng-yuan Chen

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R.China

August 4, 2009

Abstract

By introducing suitable non-isospectral flows we construct two sets of symmetries for the isospectral differential-difference Kadomstev-Petviashvili hierarchy. The symmetries form an infinite dimensional Lie algebra.

Keywords: non-isospectral flows, the differential-difference Kadomstev-Petviashvili equation, symmetries, Lie algebra.

1 Introduction

Searching for symmetries and Lie algebraic structure is an important and interesting topic in integrable systems[1]. Variety of methods have been developed to obtain infinitely many symmetries and their Lie algebraic structures for Lax integrable systems[2]-[15], for both (1+1)-dimensional and high-dimensional cases. One of efficient ways is to use Lax representation of isospectral and non-isospectral flows (cf. [4]-[7],[11, 12]), rather than recursion operators, and this approach has been extended to high dimensional continuous integrable systems[15].

In this paper, we consider the symmetries and their Lie algebra for the differential-difference Kadomstev-Petviashvili (D Δ KP) hierarchy by means of Lax representation approach. The (isospectral) D Δ KP hierarchy is derived following the basic frame of Sato's theory starting from a quasi-difference operator [16, 18]. However, due to the lack of a neat form of discrete derivatives, the non-isospectral flows do not have regular asymptotic properties as the continuous non-isospectral flows do. We have to choose suitable time evolution for spectral parameter so that we can get suitable non-isospectral flows which can be used to construct symmetries.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we construct isospectral and -non-isospectral D Δ KP hierarchies from a quasi-difference operator. In Sec.3, we construct two sets of symmetries and their Lie algebra for the isospectral D Δ KP hierarchy.

2 The isospectral and non-isospectral D Δ KP hierarchies

Let us consider the difference analogy of a quasi-differential operator[16]

$$L = \Delta + u_0 + u_1\Delta^{-1} + \cdots + u_j\Delta^{-j} + \cdots, \quad (2.1)$$

*E-mail address: xlongs@yahoo.cn

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

where $u_s =: u_s(n, t) = u_s(n, t_1, t_2, \dots)$ ($s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$, each u_s vanishes rapidly when $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, Δ denotes the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta f(n) = (E - 1)f(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$ and the shift operator E defined by $Ef(n) = f(n+1)$. The operators Δ and E are connected by $\Delta = E - 1$ and $\Delta\Delta^{-1} = \Delta^{-1}\Delta = 1$. Obviously, the r^{th} -power of L can be expressed as

$$L^r = \sum_{j \leq r} p_{r,j}(u) \Delta^j. \quad (2.2a)$$

where the coefficients $p_{r,j}(u)$ are uniquely determined by the coordinates u_j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and their differences. Here by u we denote $(u_0, u_1, \dots)^T$. L^r can be separated into

$$(L^r)_+ = \sum_{j=0}^n p_{r,j}(u) \Delta^j, \quad (L^r)_- = L^r - (L^r)_+, \quad (2.2b)$$

where $()_+$ denotes the nonnegative part of Δ and $()_-$ the residual part.

In general, the isospectral flows can be obtained from the compatibility of

$$L\phi = \eta\phi, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\phi_{t_s} = A_s\phi; \quad (2.3b)$$

i.e

$$L_{t_s} = [A_s, L], \quad (2.4a)$$

where $\eta_{t_s} = 0$, $A_s = (L^s)_+$ and obviously A_s satisfies the boundary condition

$$A_s|_{u=0} = \Delta^s. \quad (2.4b)$$

The first few explicit forms of A_s and equations given by the Lax equation (2.4a) are[18]

$$A_1 = \Delta + u_0, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$A_2 = \Delta^2 + (\Delta u_0 + 2u_0)\Delta + (\Delta u_0 + u_0^2 + \Delta u_1 + 2u_1), \quad (2.5b)$$

$$A_3 = \Delta^3 + a_1\Delta^2 + a_2\Delta + a_3, \quad (2.5c)$$

$\dots$,

with

$$a_1 = \Delta^2 u_0 + 3\Delta u_0 + 3u_0, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$a_2 = 2\Delta^2 u_0 + 3\Delta u_0 + 3u_0^2 + 3u_0\Delta u_0 + (\Delta u_0)^2 + 3u_1 + 3\Delta u_1 + \Delta^2 u_1, \quad (2.6b)$$

$$a_3 = \Delta^2 u_0 + 5u_0 u_1 + 3u_0 \Delta u_0 + u_0^3 + (\Delta u_0)^2 + \Delta u_0 \Delta u_1 + 3u_0 \Delta u_1 + u_1 \Delta u_0 + u_1 E^{-1} u_0 + 2\Delta^2 u_1 + 3\Delta u_1 + 3u_2 + 3\Delta u_2 + \Delta^2 u_2; \quad (2.6c)$$

$$u_{0,t_1} = q_{10} = \Delta u_1, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$u_{1,t_1} = q_{11} = \Delta u_1 + \Delta u_2 + u_0 u_1 - u_1 E^{-1} u_0, \quad (2.7b)$$

$$u_{2,t_1} = q_{12} = \Delta u_3 + \Delta u_2 + u_0 u_2 + u_1 E^{-1} u_0 - u_2 E^{-2} u_0 - u_1 E^{-2} u_0, \quad (2.7c)$$

$\dots$;

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{0,t_2} = q_{20} &= \Delta^2 u_1 + 2\Delta u_2 + \Delta^2 u_2 + u_1 \Delta u_0 + 2u_0 \Delta u_1 \\
&\quad + (\Delta u_0) \Delta u_1 + u_0 u_1 - u_1 E^{-1} u_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{1,t_2} = q_{21} &= \Delta^2 u_1 + 2\Delta u_2 + 2\Delta^2 u_2 + 2\Delta u_3 + \Delta^2 u_3 + 2u_0 \Delta u_1 + \Delta u_0 \Delta u_1 \\
&\quad + 2u_0 u_2 + u_2 \Delta u_0 + 2u_0 \Delta u_2 + \Delta u_0 \Delta u_2 + u_1 \Delta u_0 + u_1 u_0^2 + u_1^2 \\
&\quad + u_1 \Delta u_1 - u_1 E^{-2} u_0 + u_1 E^{-1} u_0 - u_1 E^{-1} u_1 - u_2 E^{-1} u_0 \\
&\quad - u_2 E^{-2} u_0 - u_1 E^{-1} u_0^2, \\
&\quad \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8b}$$

From (2.7), we obtain

$$u_1 = \Delta^{-1} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_1}, \tag{2.9a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2 &= \Delta^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t_1^2} - \Delta^{-1} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_1} - E^{-1} u_0 \Delta^{-1} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_1} + \Delta^{-1} (u_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t_1}), \\
&\quad \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9b}$$

Eliminating $u_1, u_2, \dots$ from (2.7a), (2.8a), $\dots$, one can obtain $(u_0 = u, t_1 = y)[16, 18]$

$$u_{t_1} = K_1 = u_y, \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{t_2} = K_2 &= (1 + 2\Delta^{-1})u_{yy} - 2u_y + 2uu_y, \\
&\quad \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10b}$$

which are isospectral DΔKP hierarchy where Eq.(2.10b) is the well-known DΔKP equation.

To get the τ -symmetries we need to introduce the non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy. In this case, we set*

$$\eta_{t_r} = \eta^r + \eta^{r-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then the Lax equation turns out to be

$$L_{t_r} = [B_r, L] + L^r + L^{r-1}, \tag{2.12a}$$

where

$$B_r = b_0 \Delta^r + b_1 \Delta^{r-1} + \dots + b_r, \quad (r > 0) \tag{2.12b}$$

* One may wonder that (2.11) is a linear combination and so is the non-isospectral flow σ_r . Actually, in the Lax representation approach we need $\sigma_r|_{u=0} = 0$. Suppose that we start from a general form

$$\eta_{t_r} = a\eta^\alpha + b\eta^\beta,$$

with constants a, b and integers α, β . Then the Lax equation is

$$L_{t_r} = [B_r, L] + aL^\alpha + bL^\beta.$$

Noting that $L|_{u=0} = \Delta$ and (2.12c) the r.h.s. of the above equation becomes

$$-\Delta^r - \Delta^{r-1} + a\Delta^\alpha + b\Delta^\beta$$

when $u = 0$, which means we have to take $a = b = 1, \alpha = r, \beta = r - 1$ so that it vanishes. Hence we need the time evolution (2.11).

in which b_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$) are undetermined functions of coordinates u_j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and their differences. B_r is imposed the boundary condition

$$B_r|_{u=0} = t_1 \Delta^r + n \Delta^{r-1}, \quad (2.12c)$$

and then the both sides of the Lax equation (2.12a) go to zero when $u \rightarrow 0$.

The first few of B_r and equations given by (2.12a) are

$$B_1 = t_1 A_1 + n, \quad (2.13a)$$

$$B_2 = t_1 A_2 + n \Delta + n u_0 + \Delta^{-1} u_0, \quad (2.13b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 = & t_1 A_3 + n \Delta^2 + (2n u_0 + n \Delta u_0 + \Delta^{-1} u_0) \Delta + u_0 \Delta^{-1} u_0 + 2n u_1 + n \Delta u_0 \\ & + n \Delta u_1 - 2u_1 - \Delta u_1 + n u_0^2 - u_0^2 + \Delta^{-1} (u_1 - u_0 + u_0^2), \\ & \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (2.13c)$$

$$u_{0,t_1} = t_1 q_{10} + u_0, \quad (2.14a)$$

$$u_{1,t_1} = t_1 q_{11} + 2u_1, \quad (2.14b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2,t_1} = & t_1 q_{12} + u_1 + 3u_2, \\ & \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (2.14c)$$

$$u_{0,t_2} = t_1 q_{20} + n \Delta u_1 + u_0^2 - u_0 + 3u_1 + \Delta u_1, \quad (2.15a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,t_2} = & t_1 q_{21} + n \Delta u_1 + n \Delta u_2 + (n+1)u_0 u_1 + u_1 \Delta^{-1} u_0 + 2u_1 + \Delta u_2 \\ & + 3u_2 + (2-n)u_1 E^{-1} u_0 - u_1 E^{-1} \Delta^{-1} u_0 + \Delta u_1, \\ & \dots; \end{aligned} \quad (2.15b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0,t_3} = & t_1 q_{30} - \Delta^2 u_0 + n u_0 \Delta u_1 + n \Delta (u_0 u_1) + \Delta^{-1} u_0 \Delta u_1 + n u_0 u_1 + n \Delta^2 u_1 \\ & + u_1 \Delta^{-1} u_0 - u_0 \Delta u_0 - 4n \Delta u_1 - 2u_1 - \Delta u_0 - \Delta u_1 + u_0 - 3u_0^2 \\ & - n u_1 E^{-1} u_0 + u_1 E^{-1} u_0 + u_0 u_1 - u_1 E^{-1} \Delta^{-1} u_0 + n \Delta^2 u_2 \\ & + 2\Delta u_2 + 2u_2, \\ & \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Here A_l and q_{ij} are described by (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

Then substituting (2.9) with $t_1 = y$ into (2.14a), (2.15a) and (2.16) yields ($u_0 = u$)

$$u_{t_1} = \sigma_1 = y K_1 + u, \quad (2.17a)$$

$$u_{t_2} = \sigma_2 = y K_2 + (1+n)u_y + 3\Delta^{-1} u_y + u^2 - u, \quad (2.17b)$$

$$\dots,$$

in which, K_s are given by (2.10). These equations constitute the non-isospectral hierarchy of the D Δ KP system.

The obtained isospectral and non-isospectral D Δ KP hierarchies can be expressed through Lax equations in the following form

$$L'[K_s] = [A_s, L], \quad (2.18a)$$

$$A_s|_{u=0} = \Delta^s; \quad (2.18b)$$

$$L'[\sigma_r] = [B_r, L] + L^r + L^{r-1}, \quad (2.19a)$$

$$B_r|_{u=0} = t_1 \Delta^r + n \Delta^{r-1}, \quad (2.19b)$$

which we call Lax representations of flows.

3 Lie algebra structure of the DΔKP system

In this section, we begin with a discussion of Gateaux derivative concerning the quasi-difference operator. Let $\partial_{t_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ denote a linear space constructed by all real functions $f = f(u)$ depending on n, t and derivatives and differences of u . $f(u)$ is C^∞ differentiable w.r.t. t and n , and vanishes rapidly when $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. The Gateaux derivative of $f(u) \in \mathcal{F}$ in direction $h \in \mathcal{F}$ w.r.t. u is defined as

$$f'[h] = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(u + \varepsilon h)|_{\varepsilon=0}, \quad (3.1)$$

from which, $\mathcal{F}$ forms a Lie algebra according to the following Gateaux commutator

$$[[f, g]] = f'[g] - g'[f], \quad (3.2)$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$. For a quasi-difference operator

$$P(u) = \sum_{j \leq s} p_j(u) \Delta^j, \quad (3.3)$$

its Gateaux derivative in direction h with respect to u is defined by

$$P'[h] = \sum_{j \leq s} p'_j[h] \Delta^j. \quad (3.4)$$

Besides, using

$$(p'_j)'[f]g = (p'_j)'[g]f, \quad (3.5)$$

one can get[19]

$$(P'[f])'[g] - (P'[g])'[f] = P'[[f, g]]. \quad (3.6)$$

In addition, it is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For the quasi-difference operator L defined in (2.1), B in the form (2.12b) and $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the equation*

$$L'[X] = [B, L], \quad B|_{u=0} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

only admits zero solution $X = 0, B = 0$.

Then, from the Lax representations (2.18)-(2.19), we have the following property.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that*

$$\langle A_s, A_r \rangle = A'_s[K_r] - A'_r[K_s] + [A_s, A_r], \quad (3.8a)$$

$$\langle A_s, B_r \rangle = A'_s[\sigma_r] - B'_r[K_s] + [A_s, B_r], \quad (3.8b)$$

$$\langle B_s, B_r \rangle = B'_s[\sigma_r] - B'_r[\sigma_s] + [B_s, B_r], \quad (3.8c)$$

then we have

$$L'[\llbracket K_s, K_r \rrbracket] = [\langle A_s, A_r \rangle, L], \quad (3.9a)$$

$$L'[\llbracket K_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket] = [\langle A_s, B_r \rangle, L], \quad (3.9b)$$

$$L'[\llbracket \sigma_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket] = [\langle B_s, B_r \rangle, L] + (s-r)L^{s+r-1} + 2(s-r)L^{s+r-2} + (s-r)L^{s+r-3}, \quad (3.9c)$$

and

$$\langle A_s, A_r \rangle|_{u=0} = 0, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\langle A_s, B_r \rangle|_{u=0} = s\Delta^{s+r-1} + s\Delta^{s+r-2}, \quad (3.10b)$$

$$\langle B_s, B_r \rangle|_{u=0} = (s-r) \left[t_1 \Delta^{s+r-1} + (t_1 + n) \Delta^{s+r-2} + n \Delta^{s+r-3} \right]. \quad (3.10c)$$

Proof. We only prove the equalities (3.9c) and (3.10c), the others can be obtained in a similar way.

Taking the Gateaux derivative of (2.19a) in the direction σ_s with respect to u , and noting

$$L^{r'}[\sigma_s] = [B_s, L^r] + rL^{s+r-1} + rL^{s+r-2} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$[[B_s, B_r], L] = [B_s, [B_r, L]] - [B_r, [B_s, L]], \quad (3.12)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L'[\sigma_r])'[\sigma_s] &= [B_r'[\sigma_s], L] + [B_r, [B_s, L]] + [B_r, L^s] + [B_r, L^{s-1}] + [B_s, L^r] + rL^{s+r-1} \\ &\quad + rL^{s+r-2} + [B_s, L^{r-1}] + (r-1)L^{s+r-2} + (r-1)L^{s+r-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (L'[\sigma_s])'[\sigma_r] &= [B_s'[\sigma_r], L] + [B_s, [B_r, L]] + [B_s, L^r] + [B_s, L^{r-1}] + [B_r, L^s] + sL^{s+r-1} \\ &\quad + sL^{s+r-2} + [B_r, L^{s-1}] + (s-1)L^{s+r-2} + (s-1)L^{s+r-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Then (3.13) coupled with (3.14) yield

$$(L'[\sigma_s])'[\sigma_r] - (L'[\sigma_r])'[\sigma_s] = [\langle B_s, B_r \rangle, L] + (s-r)(L^{s+r-1} + 2L^{s+r-2} + L^{s+r-3}), \quad (3.15)$$

which gives (3.9c) by using (3.6). Next, noting that $K_s, \sigma_r \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e., $K_s|_{u=0} = \sigma_r|_{u=0} = 0$, from (3.8c) we obtain (3.10c) immediately. We complete the proof. $\square$

With the above theorem in hand, the algebraic relation of flows K_s and σ_r can be derived.

Theorem 2. *The flows K_s and σ_r form a Lie algebra with structure*

$$\llbracket K_s, K_r \rrbracket = 0, \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\llbracket K_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket = sK_{s+r-1} + sK_{s+r-2}, \quad (3.16b)$$

$$\llbracket \sigma_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket = (s-r)(\sigma_{s+r-1} + \sigma_{s+r-2}), \quad (3.16c)$$

where $s, r \geq 1$ and we set $K_0 = \sigma_0 = 0$.

Proof. In the light of (3.7) only admitting zero solution, (3.9a) coupled with (3.10a) possesses the same property as well, which means (3.16a) holds.

Next, taking

$$\theta = \llbracket K_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket - sK_{s+r-1} - sK_{s+r-2}, \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \langle A_s, B_r \rangle - sA_{s+r-1} - sA_{s+r-2}, \quad (3.17b)$$

it then follows from (3.9b), (3.10b) and the isospectral Lax representation (2.18) that

$$L'[\theta] = [\tilde{A}, L], \quad \tilde{A}|_{u=0} = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

which has only zero solution $\theta = 0$ and $\tilde{A} = 0$, and then means (3.16b) is true.

Similarly, taking

$$\omega = \llbracket \sigma_s, \sigma_r \rrbracket - (s-r)(\sigma_{s+r-1} + s\sigma_{s+r-2}), \quad (3.19a)$$

$$\tilde{B} = \langle B_s, B_r \rangle - (s-r)(B_{s+r-1} + B_{s+r-2}), \quad (3.19b)$$

and noting that $\tilde{B}|_{u=0} = 0$ together with (2.19), (3.9c) and (3.10c), we then have

$$L'[\omega] = [\tilde{B}, L], \quad \tilde{B}|_{u=0} = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Hence we get $\omega = 0$ and $\tilde{B} = 0$, which shows that (3.16c) is also correct. Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

Based on Theorem 2, the symmetries and their algebraic structure for the isospectral $D\Delta KP$ hierarchy $u_{t_s} = K_s$ can be derived immediately.

Theorem 3. *The isospectral $D\Delta KP$ hierarchy $u_{t_s} = K_s$ can have two sets of symmetries, K -symmetries $\{K_l\}$ and τ -symmetries, $\tau_r^s = st_s K_{s+r-1} + st_s K_{s+r-2} + \sigma_r$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$), which form a Lie algebra with structure*

$$\llbracket K_l, K_r \rrbracket = 0, \quad (3.21a)$$

$$\llbracket K_l, \tau_r^s \rrbracket = l(K_{l+r-1} + K_{l+r-2}), \quad (3.21b)$$

$$\llbracket \tau_l^s, \tau_r^s \rrbracket = (l-r)(\tau_{l+r-1}^s + \tau_{l+r-2}^s), \quad (3.21c)$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ and we set $K_0 = \tau_0^s = 0$. Especially for the $D\Delta KP$ equation (2.10b) its symmetries are K_l and $\tau_r = 2tK_{r+1} + 2tK_r + \sigma_r$.

We end up this section by the following two remarks. First, the new time-dependence (2.11) of the spectral parameter η leads to the new algebra structure (3.21), which is different from the centreless Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra (cf.[20]) of the $D\Delta KP$ equation given in [18], and also different from the centreless Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebra of the KP hierarchy obtained in [15]. Besides, $\{K_1, K_2, \tau_1^s\}$ compose a subalgebra. This agrees with the symmetry algebra of the $D\Delta KP$ equation obtained in [21] where τ_1^2 provides an invariability for the $D\Delta KP$ equation under a combined Galilean-scalar transformation, and now τ_1^2 has got its clear context in the Lax representation approach. The second remark is on the relation between the $D\Delta KP$ equation and the KP equation. In fact, the $D\Delta KP$ equation was originally proposed by Date, et.al.[22]. It was derived from a bilinear identity (discretized by partially imposing Miwa's transformation on continuous exponential functions) which is related to the KP hierarchy. However, since in the discrete exponential the discrete variables (eg. n, m, l) appear symmetrically and do not represent dispersion relation as in the continuous one, therefore there are (sometimes complicated)

variable combination and transformation involved in the continuous limit procedure. There have been many results on the D Δ KP equation, such as bilinear form[22], Sato's approach[16]-[18], Casoratian solutions[21, 24], gauge transformation and double Casoratian solutions[23], and also symmetries in the present paper. The relations between these results and those of the KP equation will be investigated in detail elsewhere in terms of continuous limit.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, by introducing suitable time-dependence $\eta_{t_r} = \eta^r + \eta^{r-1}$ for the spectral parameter η , we obtained non-isospectral D Δ KP flows $\{\sigma_r\}$ which satisfy $\sigma_r|_{u=0} = 0$. This enables us to construct K -symmetries and τ -symmetries for the isospectral D Δ KP hierarchy through the Lax representation approach. The obtained symmetries are proved to form a Lie algebra.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10671121) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (No.J50101).

References

- [1] A.S. Fokas, Symmetries and integeability, Stud. Appl. Math., 77 (1987) 253-99.
- [2] B. Fuchssteiner, Master symmetries, Higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations, Prog. Theor. Phys., 70 (1983) 1508-22.
- [3] Y.S. Li, G.C. Zhu, New set of symmetries of the integrable equations, Lie algebra and nonisospectral evolution equations (II): AKNS system, J. Phys. A: Gen. Math. Phys., 19 (1986) 3713-25.
- [4] W.X. Ma, K symmetries and τ symmetries of evolution equations and their Lie algebras, J. Phys. A: Gen. Math. Phys., 23 (1990) 2707-16.
- [5] W.X. Ma, Lax representations and Lax operator algebras of isospectral and nonisospectral hierarchies of evolution equations, J. Math. Phys., 33 (1992) 2464-76.
- [6] W.X. Ma, B.Fuchssteiner, Algebraic structure of discrete zero curvature equations and master symmetries of discrete evolution equations, J. Math. Phys., 40 (1999) 2400-18.
- [7] K.M. Tamizhmani, W.X. Ma. Master symmetries from Lax operators for certain lattice soliton hierarchies, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 69 (2000) 351-61.
- [8] C. Tian, Symmetry, *Soliton theory and its Applications*, ed. C.H. Gu, Springer-Verlag, 1995, $p_{192-229}$.
- [9] D.Y. Chen, G.C. Zhu, Y.S. Li, The symmetries and its Lie algebra for the matrix evolution equation of Kdv type, Acta. Math. Appl. Sin., 13 (1990) 324-34.
- [10] D.Y. Chen, G.C. Zhu, Y.S. Li, The new symmetries and its Lie algebra for the matrix evolution equation of AKNS type, Chin. Ann. Math. A., 12 (1991) 33-42.
- [11] D.Y. Chen, H.W. Zhang, Lie algebraic structure for the AKNS system, J. Phys. A: Gen. Math. Phys., 24 (1991) 377-83.
- [12] D.Y. Chen, D.J. Zhang, Lie algebraic structures of (1+1)-dimensional Lax integrable systems, J. Math. Phys., 37 (1996) 5524-38.
- [13] P.M. Santini, A.S. Fokas, Recursion operators and bi-Hamiltonian structures in multidimensions (I), Commun. Math. Phys., 115 (1988) 375-419.

- [14] A.S. Fokas, P.M. Santini, Recursion operators and bi-Hamiltonian structures in multidimensions (II), *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 116 (1988) 449-74.
- [15] D.Y. Chen, H.W. Xin, D.J. Zhang, Lie algebraic structures of (1+2)-dimensional Lax integrable systems, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.*, 15 (2003) 761-70.
- [16] S. Kanaga Vel, K.M. Tamizhmani, Lax pairs, symmetries and conservation laws of a differential-difference equation-Sato's approach, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.*, 8 (1997) 917-31.
- [17] K.M. Tamizhmani, S. Kanaga Vel, Gauge equivalence and l -reductions of the differential-difference KP equation *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.*, 11 (2000) 137-43.
- [18] S. Kanaga Vel, On certain integrability aspects of differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Doctoral thesis, 1998, Pondicherry University, India.
- [19] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [20] F. Güngör, On the Virasoro structure of symmetry algebras of nonlinear partial differential equations, *SIGMA*, 2 (2006), Paper 014, 7pp.
- [21] D.J. Zhang, J. Ji, X.L. Sun, Casoratian solutions and new symmetries of the Differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, accepted and to appear in *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, (2009).
- [22] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: II, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 51 (1982) 4125-31.
- [23] S.W. Liu, Y. Cheng, J.S. He, The determinant representation of the gauge transformation for the discrete KP hierarchy, arXiv:0904.1868[nlin.SI]
- [24] T. Tamizhmani, S.K. Vel, K.M. Tamizhmani, Wronskian and ratioanal solutions of the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 31 (1998) 7627-33.